

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Cook
<b>Given Name</b>	Stuart
<b>Person ID</b>	1286659
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Cook
<b>Given Name</b>	Stuart
<b>Person ID</b>	1286659
<b>Title</b>	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>The proposal for an additional 1100 extra houses in this area is unwarranted for an area that is currently experiencing, and has for quite a long time experienced,. unprecedented volumes of traffic. The existing road structure cannot cope and an additional 1400 plus vehicles will bring the local area, which is already very heavily trafficked, to a complete standstill. I see that there is a proposal to introduce an entrance from Bridgewater Road which is currently used as a "rat run" and a race track by a considerable number of motorists. This proposal will surely only exacerbate that problem.</p> <p>My second point is the negative impact that the increase in housing to the levels proposed will have on the already stretched facilities within the area. I do not see any proposals for improvement in GP surgeries, dentists and schools within the proposal.</p>